etioporphyrin II-copper complex bands and no unreacted etioporphyrin II.
Exchange Reactions between Compound IV and Methene III.-(a) Using the same general procedure described in the competition reaction for copper, the copper complex of compound IV was formed first and then the methene was added to the solution. The reaction mixture was boiled for 5 minutes and, after cooling, the hand spectroscope showed no formation of etioporphyrin II, compound IV. (b) Using the same procedure, the copper complex of methene III was formed and compound IV was then added to the solution. After boiling, the hand spectroscope showed formation of the copper complex of compound IV.

Competition and Exchange Reactions between Compound V and Methene II for Copper.-The same general method was used for the competition and exchange reactions between compound $V$ and methene III for copper as that described for compound IV and methene III.

Exchange Reaction between Compound IV and Methene II and Magnesium.-The procedure used for this exchange reaction is the same as that described for the exchange reactions of methene II and methene III and magnesium, using 4 -methyl-1,3-dioxane as the solvent, 1.72 mg . of methene II, 1.2 mg . of compound IV and 1 drop of $1 M$ Grignard reagent.
Competition between Compound IV and Compound VI for Copper.-In 4 ml . of pyridine was dissolved 2.39 mg . of compound IV ( $0.5 \times 10^{-5}$ mole), and 3.27 mg . of compound $\mathrm{VI}\left(0.5 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mole}\right)$ was dissolved in 5 ml . of pyridine. The two porphyrin solutions were mixed. One mg. of cupric acetate monohydrate ( $0.5 \times 10^{-5}$ mole) was added to the porphyrin solution and the mixture heated to boiling and boiled 5 minutes. For spectra, the solution was diluted $1: 10$ and then $5: 10$ with pyridine:
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { (1) } & e_{620} & 0.163 \\ \text { Etioporphyrin II } & 0.48 \times 10^{-5} \text { mole } \\ \text { (2) } & e_{620} & 0.127 \\ \text { Etioporphyrin II } & 0.46 \times 10^{-5} \text { mole }\end{array}$

Exchange Reactions with Copper between Compound IV and Compound VI.-The procedure used in the competition reaction was tried for an exchange reaction.

Competition and Exchange Reactions with Magnesium, Compound IV and Compound VI.-The procedure described for the methene-magnesium reactions was used for the reactions between these two porphyrins and magnesiun.

Competition and Exchange Reactions between Compound V and Compound IV for Copper.-(a) 2.39 mg . of compound IV ( $0.5 \times 10^{-5}$ mole) and 2.40 mg . of compound $\mathrm{V}(0.5 \times$ $10^{-5}$ mole) were dissolved in 19 ml . of glacial acetic acid. One mg . of cupric acetate monohydrate ( $0.5 \times 10^{-5}$ mole) dissolved in 1 ml . of glacial acetic acid was added to the solution at room temperature with stirring and in a hydrogen atmosphere. After 10 minutes the spectrum was taken and absorption bands appeared at 520,560 and $620 \mathrm{~m} \mu$. (b) Exchange reactions were performed using the same general procedure described for the competition reaction in a.

Competition and Exchange of Magnesium between Compound V and Compound IV.-The procedure used in the methene-magnesium competition and exchange reactions also were used for the chlorin-porphyrin reactions with magnesium.

Formation of Copper Mesoporphyrin in Pyridine and Py-ridine-Water Mixture.-(a) To 1 ml . of a pyridine solution of mesoporphyrin $5 \times 10^{-4} M$ was added 1 ml . of pyridine and 5 drops of a pyridine solution of cupric acetate monohydrate $0.012 M$. (b) To 1 ml . of the same mesoporphyrin solution was added 1 ml . of distilled water and 5 drops of cupric acetate monohydrate in pyridine ( 0.012 M ). Solutions $a$ and $b$ were placed in a water-bath at $50^{\circ}$ for 20 minutes. The spectrum of the two solutions after that time was taken with a hand spectroscope. Solution a showed no copper-mesoporphyrin spectrum, while $b$ showed the formation of the copper complex of mesoporphyrin.
Baltimore 18, Maryland
[Contribution from the Chemical Laboratory, University of Toronto]

## Dipole Moments of Phenylmercurials

By J. C. Sipos, H. Sawatzky and George F Wright<br>Received December 8, 1954

The dielectric constant of solid diphenylmercury has been found to be 2.87 , showing that the atomic polarization is normal. Thus the observed polarization apparently is due to orientation, so that diphenylmercury is not linear. Also non-linearity in the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{X}$ bond is confirmed by dipole moment studies of several substituted phenylmercuric halides. The included angle of these mercurial linkages seems to be $130-150^{\circ}$.

There is doubt about the valence angle which mercury assumes when it is bonded to carbon or to carbon and halogen. Smyth ${ }^{1}$ and Oesper ${ }^{2}$ assumed an angle of $180^{\circ}$ in calculation of the electric moment of benzylmercuric chloride. This assumption is validated by evidence from Raman spectra, ${ }^{3-5}$ electron diffraction ${ }^{6}$ and X-ray diffraction ${ }^{7}$ that mercuric chloride is linear, at least in the vapor state. Indeed the valence electrons of mercury (II), occupying $6 s^{2}$ orbitals might be expected in compound formation to assume sp orbitals which, from symmetry considerations, are diametrically opposed.

However other studies have indicated that the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{X}$ bond in organomercurials is angular.
(1) C. P. Smyth, J. Org. Chem., 6, 421 (1941).
(2) P. F. Oesper and C. P. Smyth, This Journal, 64, 173 (1942).
(3) K. W. F. Kohlrausch, "Der Smekal-Raman Effekt," Verlag von Julius Springer, Berlin, 1931, pp. 182-184.
(4) P. Krishnamurti, Ind. J. Phys., 5, 113 (1930).
(5) H. Braune and G. Engelbrecht, Z. physik. Chem., 10B, 1 (1930); 11B, 409 (1931).
(6) H. Braune and S. Knoke, ibid., 23B, 163 (1933).
(7) H. Braune and R. Linke, ibid., 31B, 12 (1935).

Curran ${ }^{8}$ assigned a non-linear bonding in the arylmercuric bromides to explain the moments of 3.06, 3.39 and 1.57 D obtained, respectively, from phenyl-, $p$-tolyl- and $p$-chlorophenylmercuric bromides. This angularity cannot be ascribed to coördination with the solvent, dioxane, because the moments determined in benzene solution are about the same. ${ }^{9}$ Curran assumed the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Hg}$ moment to be about zero, the over-all $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{Hg}$ moment to be 0.3 , the $p-\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ moment to be 0.4 and the -HgBr moment to be 2.75 . Then if the difference between the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ dipoles is 1.6 Curran's calculation shows that the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Br}$ angle varies with the aryl group; it is $140^{\circ}$ for the $p$-tolylmercurial and $167^{\circ}$ for the $p$-chlorophenylmercurial. However these results should be regarded only qualitatively since a difference of 0.1 D in these highly degenerate para-substituted benzenes would vitiate the calculated differences.

Earlier Hampson ${ }^{10}$ had presented evidence for
(8) B, C. Curtan, This Journal, 64, 830 (1942).
(9) B. C Curran, ibid., 57, 2162 (1935).
(10) G. C. Hampson, Trans. Faraday Soc., 30, 877 (1934).
the angularity of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{C}$ linkage when he found for diphenylmercury a moment of $0.44 D$ at $25^{\circ}$ and $0.54 D$ at $142^{\circ}$ in decalin, also $0.41 D$ in benzene at $25^{\circ}$. Furthermore this significant deviation from the zero moment expected of a linear $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{C}$ linkage was substantiated by moments of $0.74,0.87,0.92$ and $1.15 D$ found in decalin at $142^{\circ}$ for diphenylmercury substituted in the para positions, respectively, by methyl, fluoro, bromo and chloro groups. These values correspond with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{C}$ angles of $151,165,165$ and $157^{\circ}$, respectively, for a $\stackrel{\ominus}{\mathrm{C}}-\stackrel{\ominus}{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{g}$ moment of about 0.5 ' $D$.

Hampson considered these moments to be caused by $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{C}$ bending due to thermal agitation, but it was later shown that the calculated period of oscillation for mercuri-bis-chlorobenzene ${ }^{11}$ ( $1.5 \times$ $10^{-12}$ sec.) is too small to account for orientation polarization of the observed magnitude. Coop and Sutton then attempted to ascribe the observed polarization to an atomic contribution such as that in $p$-benzoquinone. However calculation of the atomic polarization of mercuri-bis-chlorobenzene by the relationship $P_{\mathrm{A}}=4 \pi N \mu^{2} / 9 V_{\mathrm{i}}$ gave a value of about 2 cc . using a bond moment, $\mu$, of 1.6 for the $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{Hg}$ group (assuming mercury negative with respect to carbon) and a force constant, $V_{\mathrm{i}}$, of $0.025 \mathrm{erg} / \mathrm{radian}^{2} /$ molecule, whereas the difference between total and electronic polarization $\left(P-P_{\mathrm{E}}\right)$ is 19.7 cc . It was concluded that the diphenylmercury moments could not be ascribed to atomic polarization unless the force constants of bending were anomalously low.

We have now tried to measure directly the atomic polarization of diphenylmercury. In an apparatus precise to $\pm 0.001 \mu \mu \mathrm{f}$. which is described elsewhere ${ }^{12}$ a series of diphenylmercury pellets of density 2.37 have been measured. Extrapolation of the observed dielectric constants at 0.5 mc to an infinitesimal pellet thickness (Fig. 1) eliminates the "edge-effect" capacitance error and thus provides a true dielectric constant of 2.87 . The distortion polarization ( $P_{\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{A}}$ ) calculated from this value is 59.2 cc . This value is lower than that which Hampson calculated ( $P_{\mathrm{E}}=65.3 \mathrm{cc}$.) for the electronic polarization of diphenylmercury, and also is lower than the 61.9 cc . calculated by us using refraction data more recent ${ }^{13,14}$ than those used by Hampson. It is possible that our value will be raised to a number comparable with these calculated ones by small improvements in the pressed density of the pellets. It may be significant that these pellets are still opaque, and attempts are still being made to eliminate air interfaces so completely that


Fig. 1. Extrapolated dielectric constant.

[^0]they will be translucent. However our studies with pellets of various densities indicate at this time that the dielectric constant will not be raised beyond the value calculated according to Vogel and Wilde's refractometric data. Tentatively we must therefore interpret the total polarization by an electric moment of about 0.6 D based on molecular refraction or $0.79 D$ as calculated from our observed electronic plus atomic polarization. Meanwhile we are seeking another explanation for the apparent anomaly that diphenylmercury has a measurable moment.

This evidence that the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{C}$ linkage is angular has prompted a further investigation concerning the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{X}$ linkage in aromatic compounds. Three substituents, chloro, carbomethoxy and, principally, methoxy have been studied in phenylmercuric chlorides and bromides. Since the resultant moments are rather insensitive to difference in group moment angle we have sought a precision of $\pm 0.005 D$ by use of a stainless steel cell of 14ml . liquid capacity and about $220 \mu \mu \mathrm{f}$. of air capacitance measurable to at least $\pm 0.002 \mu \mu \mathrm{f} .^{12}$ The density determination $\left( \pm 1 \times 10^{4}\right)$ thus limits the precision of the electric moments, which are calculated using the refractometric data of Vogel ${ }^{13}$ and Wilde. ${ }^{14}$ These moments are listed in Table I.

Table I
Dipole Moments from Dielectric Measurements in Dioxane at $20^{\circ}$ at 0.5 Mc .

Substance
Phenylmercuric chloride
o-Chloromercurianisole
$m$-Chloromercurianisole
$p$-Chloromercurianisole
o-Bromomercurianisole
$m$-Bromomercurianisole
$p$-Bromomercurianisole
o-Iodomercurianisole
$\begin{array}{lllll}p \text {-Iodomercurianisole } & 318.63 & 56.92 & 3.55\end{array}$
$m$-Chlorophenylmercuric chloride
Methyl $p$-chloromercuribenzoate
Phenylmercuric cyanide
Diphenylmercury
Nitrobenzene
${ }^{\text {a }}$ From solid dielectric measurements.
The observed moments are compared with those calculated assuming free rotation of the substituent groups of moment angle $\alpha$ and $\beta$ according to Fuchs' equation ${ }^{15}$

$$
\mu^{2}=a^{2}+b^{2}+2 a b \cos \alpha \cos \beta \cos \theta
$$

wherein $\theta$ is the angle between the substituent groups in the benzene ring. Because of degeneracy inherent in the benzene nucleus the moment angles will differ according to the position of the substituent group.

The moment angle of the para methoxy group has been evaluated from anisole, bromobenzene and $p$-bromoanisole as $71.5^{016}$ and from $p$-chloroanisole ${ }^{17}$ as $73^{\circ}$. We have used a $72^{\circ}$ angle for cal-

[^1]culation of both $o$ - and $p$-anisylmercurials. In like manner the moment angle of the meta-methoxyl has been calculated as $66^{\circ}$ from the comparable moments of anisole (1.23 D), nitrobenzene (3.93 $D$ ) and $m$-nitroanisole (3.86 D). This value may be too low because of the degenerative effect of the nitro group which in $p$-nitroanisole ( $\mu=4.74 D$ ) causes a calculated moment angle of $55^{\circ}$ for the methoxyl group. Therefore both moment angles, $66^{\circ}$ and $72^{\circ}$, will be used in evaluation of the arylattached halogenomercuri group.

The para-carbomethoxyphenyl moment angle may be calculated from the moments of 1.82 D for methyl $p$-bromobenzoate, $2.01 D$ for methyl benzoate and $1.53 D$ for bromobenzene. This moment angle value of $120^{\circ}$ is in good agreement with that reported by Halverstadt and Kumler. ${ }^{18}$

The direction of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{X}$ moment has been defined by Smyth ${ }^{1,2}$ and Curran ${ }^{9}$ with halogen negative and carbon positive. The correctness of this assumption is substantiated by the high moment of phenylmercuric cyanide. If one assumes further with Curran and Hampson that the carbon-mercury moment is small, or else zero, then the moment is negative at halogen and positive at mercury; in consequence the bond angle of C-$\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{X}$ is essentially identical with the moment angle.

The ortho-anisylmercurials are of little value in evaluation of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{X}$ bond angle. Thus $o$ chloromercurianisole with an observed moment of $3.84 D$ should have a moment of $2.15 D$ if the group moments were directed (cis) away from one another. If the groups were freely-rotating the resultant would be $3.08 D$ whereas resultants of 4.21 or $3.92 D$ (depending, respectively, on a C-$\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond angle of 130 or $180^{\circ}$ ) are calculated if the group moments (trans) support one another. Similarly the resultant moment of $o$-bromomercurianisole (obsd. 3.90 D ) would be 2.27 D if groups were cis, 3.20 D if freely-rotating and 4.34 or 4.05 D (depending on 130 or $180^{\circ}$ for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Br}$ ). Of course the observed moments show that the methyl group is directed away from the chloromercuri group, but this would be anticipated by the results of Anzilotti and Curran ${ }^{16}$ for $o$-halogenoanisoles.

Because of strong degeneracy usually associated with 1,4 -disubstituted benzenes one might anticipate little to be learned from para-substituted phenylmercurials. However Table II shows that the mesomeric contributions are consistent if a

## Table II

Calculated Moments of Freely-rotating para-Substituted Phenylmercurials Using Moment Angles of $72^{\circ}$ for Methoxyl and $120^{\circ}$ for Carbomethoxyl

| Compound | $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{X} \text { bond angle }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Calcd | Calcd. obsd. | Calc | Calcd. obsd. | Calcd. | Calcd. obsd. | Obsd <br> $\mu$ |
| $p$-Chloromercuri anisole | 3.57 | 0.98 | 3.52 | 0.96 | 3.45 | 0.95 | 3.65 |
| $p$-Bromomercurianisole | 3.69 | . 96 | 3.64 | . 95 | 3.57 | 0.93 | 3.85 |
| Methyl p-chloromercuribenzoate | 2.64 | . 94 | 2.79 | . 99 | 3.02 | 1.07 | 2.82 |

(18) I. F. Halverstadt and W. D. Kumler, This Journal, 64, 2988 (1942).
$\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{X}$ bond angle of $130^{\circ}$ is assumed. The mesomerism related to methoxyl and carbomethoxyl ought to be opposite in polarization, which should reverse the ratio of calculated to observed moment. It may be seen that this condition is not met by assumption of a $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{X}$ bond angle. There is a trend toward reversal by assumption of a $150^{\circ}$ angle, but closest agreement with that expected when +M becomes -M is found ( 0.93 , 0.95 versus 1.07 ) when a $130^{\circ}$ angle is assumed.

Evaluation of the angularity of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{X}$ bond should be most satisfactory in meta-substituted phenylmercuric halides, because degeneracy is minimal in 1,3 -disubstituted benzenes. A1though the methoxyl moment angle is not exactly known $\left(66^{\circ}\right.$ vs. $\left.72^{\circ}\right)$ it may be seen in Table III that this doubt has little effect on the calculated moments. Furthermore the agreement between calculated and observed moments for the $m$ methoxyphenylmercuric halide is comparable with those of $m$-chlorophenylmercuric chloride in which only one group capable of free rotation is present. Therefore the $130^{\circ}$ bond angle of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{X}$ is favored for the meta- as well as the para-substituted phenylmercuric halides.

Table III
Calculated Moments of Freely-rotating meta-Substituted Phenylmercuric Halides

| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{X}$ bond angle at 66 and $72^{\circ}$ methoxy moment angles |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 72 | $6_{66}^{150} 72$ |  |  | Obsd. moment |
| 3.45 | 3.40 | 3.42 | 3.38 | 3.35 | 3.35 |
| 3.57 | 3.53 | 3.54 | 3.50 | 3.47 | 3. |
|  |  | 2.72 | 2. |  | 2.91 |

Purification of Materials.-Benzene was washed repeatedly with concentrated sulfuric acid until the acid layer was colorless, then twice with water and with aqueous sodium bicarbonate. After calcium chloride drying the benzene was refluxed under nitrogen over sodium until the addition of a small amount of benzophenone produced a deep blue color. After distillation of the colored solution under nitrogen from the sodium the heart cut was stored over sodium.

Dioxane was boiled 6 hr . with concentrated hydrochloric acid ( $5 \mathrm{ml} . / \mathrm{l}_{\text {. }}$ ), then neutralized and dried with solid sodium hydroxide. After separation of the salt phase the dioxane was distilled, then refluxed over sodium under nitrogen until addition of benzophenone gave a blue color. After distillation of the blue solution through a column without ebullition in order to avoid spray the distillate was stored over sodium. It was freshly redistilled for use in each determination in order to avoid peroxides.
Phenylmercuric chloride was crystallized from ethyl acetate ( $30 \mathrm{ml} . / \mathrm{g}$.), m.p. 258.4-258.9 ${ }^{\circ}$. Phenylmercuric cyanide ${ }^{20}$ was crystallized from chloroform ( $50 \mathrm{ml} . / \mathrm{g}$.) and ethanol ( $3 \mathrm{ml} . / \mathrm{g}$.), m.p. 208.5-209.3 ${ }^{\circ}$. Diphenylmercury was crystallized from $1: 1$ chloroform-ethanol ( $7 \mathrm{ml} . / \mathrm{g}$.), m.p. 123.4-124.1 ${ }^{\circ}$. Its absorption spectrum was determined in ethanol ( $214-400 \mathrm{~m} \mu$ ) and in carbon tetrachloride (1.0-2.85 $\mu$ ) in a Beckman DK spectrophotometer: wide shoulder from $270-248 \mathrm{~m} \mu, E 369$ at $270 \mathrm{~m} \mu ; E 2564$ at 228 $\mathrm{m} \mu$; $E 2.1$ at $1.68 \mu ; E 2.3$ at $2.15 \mu$; $E 5.1$ at $2.44 \mu ; E$ 4.3 at $270 \mu$. Nitrobenzene was fractionated, b.p. $81-82^{\circ}$
(19) Melting points have been corrected against known standards. The strongest lines of X-ray diffraction patterns were determined with $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ (Ni filtered) radiation and reported as relative intensities [I/I $I_{1}$.
(20) R. Otto, J, prakt. Chem., [2] 1, 182 (1870).
( 8 mm .) and then redistilled, b.p. $79.7^{\circ}$ ( 8 mm .), m.p. $5.75^{\circ}$. $m$-Chloromercurianisole, ${ }^{21}$ prepared in $58 \%$ yield, m.p. $157-160^{\circ}$, was crystallized from carbon tetrachloride ( $6 \mathrm{ml} . / \mathrm{g}$.) and dioxane ( $2 \mathrm{ml} . / \mathrm{g}$.), m.p. $163.9-164.4^{\circ} .{ }^{22}$ Crystallization of 0 -bromomercurianisole ${ }^{23}$ from dioxane ( $2 \mathrm{ml} . / \mathrm{g}$.) gave a melting point of $188.6-189.5^{\circ}$. Interaction of silver acetate and o-chloromercurianisole followed by potassium iodide gave o-iodomercurianisole, ${ }^{24}$ m.p. 171.3 and $171.7^{\circ}$ after crystallization from benzene ( $6.5 \mathrm{ml} . / \mathrm{g}$.) and dioxane ( $7 \mathrm{ml} . / \mathrm{g}$.). Methyl p-chloromercuribenzoate, prepared according to Nesmeyanov ${ }^{21}$ in $17 \%$ yield, m.p. $248-254^{\circ}$, was crystallized from ethanol ( $10 \mathrm{ml} . / \mathrm{g}$.) and ethyl acetate ( $50 \mathrm{ml} . / \mathrm{g}$.), m.p. $253.4-255.0^{\circ}$ as contrasted to Nesmeyanov's $259^{\circ}$.
o-Chloromercurianisole.-Mercuric acetate (318 g., 1 mole) was heated with 864 g . ( 8 moles ) of anisole for 48 hr . The hot mixture was filtered and cooled to precipitate 55 g . ( $15 \%$ ) of $p$-acetoxymercurianisole, m.p. $171-175^{\circ}$, which was crystallized from ethanol ( $17 \mathrm{ml} . / \mathrm{g}$.), m.p. 176-177 ${ }^{\circ} .{ }^{25}$ The reaction and crystallization liquors were treated with an excess of aqueous sodium chloride and the mixture was steam distilled. From the distillate $2.5 \mathrm{~g} .(0.7 \%)$ of ochloromercurianisole, m.p. $175-177^{\circ}$, was collected. It was crystallized from chloroform ( $17 \mathrm{ml} . / \mathrm{g}$.) and ethanol ( $30 \mathrm{ml} . / \mathrm{g}$ ), m.p. 179-179.4 .
$p$-Chloromercurianisole.-The residue from the steam distillate yielding the ortho isomer was filtered, washed with water and dried. This mixture of mono- and dichloromercurials, m.p. $218-236^{\circ}$, was continuously extracted with dioxane leaving 75 g . of insoluble infusible dichloromercurianisole. The extract, evaporated, left 175 g . ( $51 \%$ ) of $p$ chloromercurianisole, m.p. $240-246^{\circ}$. This crude product was crystallized from ethyl acetate ( $70 \mathrm{ml} . / \mathrm{g}$.), m.p. $252.5-$ $252.7^{\circ}$.
$m$-Bromomercurianisole.-According to the method of Nesmeyanov ${ }^{21}$ a solution of 3.69 g . ( 0.03 mole ) of $m$-anisidine in 11 ml . of $40 \%$ hydrobromic acid plus 6 ml . of water ( 0.075 mole ) was diazotized with 2.4 g . ( 0.03 mole ) of sodium nitrite. The cold solution was quickly added to 10.8 g . ( 0.03 mole ) of mercuric bromide in 11 ml . of $40 \%$ hydrobromic acid plus 6 g . of ice and shaken. The double salt ( $13.3 \mathrm{~g} ., 78 \%$, m.p. $72-73^{\circ}$ ) was filtered and washed with cold water and ether, then added portionwise with stirring to a cooled suspension of $4.4 \mathrm{~g} .(0.69$ atom $)$ of copper pow-

[^2]der in 200 ml . of acetone. After 12 hr . the precipitate was filtered and the residue was extracted with acetone. The extract was evaporated until crystals separated which were washed with ether, 3.5 g. ( $40 \%$ ), m.p. 137-139.2 ${ }^{\circ}$, crystallized from carbon tetrachloride ( $10 \mathrm{ml} . / \mathrm{g}$.), m.p. $152.3-$ $152.3^{\circ}$.
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{BrHgO}: \mathrm{C}, 21.7 ; \mathrm{H}, 1.82$. Found: C, 21.7; H, 1.92.
$m$-Chlorophenylmercuric Chloride.-Nesmeyanov's method, as outlined above, was employed with $m$-chloroaniline to yield the double salt ( $88 \%$, m.p. $128.4-128.7^{\circ}$, dec.) which was converted in $57 \%$ yield to $m$-chlorophenvimercuric chloride, m.p. $210-212^{\circ}$. When crystallized from ethanol ( $25 \mathrm{ml} . / \mathrm{g}$.) this melted at $212.0-212.4^{\circ}$.

Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Hg}: \mathrm{C}, 20.7 ; \mathrm{H}, 1.11$. Found: C, 20.6; H, 1.37.
p-Bromomercurianisole.-A mixture of 10 g . ( 0.027 mole) of $p$-acetoxymercurianisole and 8.5 g . ( 0.07 mole ) of potassium bromide in 250 ml . of ethanol was refluxed for 15 min . It was then cooled and the crystals filtered, ethanol washed and dried, 10 g. ( $96 \%$ ), m.p. $232-237^{\circ}$. Repeated crystallization from dioxane ( $9 \mathrm{ml} . / \mathrm{g}$.) and ethyl acetate ( $50 \mathrm{ml} . / \mathrm{g}$.) gave a m.p. of $258.5-259.5^{\circ}$ as contrasted to $187^{\circ}$ previously reported. ${ }^{23}$
$p$-Iodomercurianisole.-Use of potassium iodide in the previous procedure gave an $82 \%$ yield, m.p. $226-229^{\circ}$. This was crystallized from ethyl acetate ( $55 \mathrm{ml} . / \mathrm{g}$.) and dioxane ( $8 \mathrm{ml} . / \mathrm{g}$.), m.p. $237.8-238.1^{\circ}$, contrasted to $227^{\circ}$ previously reported. ${ }^{23}$

Dielectric Constant of Diphenylmercury.-A series of pellets varying in thickness as shown in Fig. 1 was prepared in a cylindrical die ${ }^{12}$ having a 0.25 inch bore. The compound was finely ground and was pressed at 8000 lb . dead load under a vacuum of about 20 mm . The pellets, which were not transparent, were weighed and measured. Densities calculated from these measurements were low (av. 2.31) indicating slight pellet distortion. Densities by flotation averaged 2.37 as compared with the reported value of $2.318 .{ }^{28}$ In order to ensure absence of air space between the pellets and the plates of the modified micrometer caliper which served as a measuring device, the end surfaces were coated completely with a pasty zinc amalgam. From the extrapolated value of dielectric constant at minimal pellet thickness (2.87) the distortion polarization was calculated $P_{\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{A}}=(\epsilon-1) M /(\epsilon+2) d=59.2$.
(26) 'International Critical Tables," Vol. I, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, N. Y., 1926, p. 121.
Toronto, Canada
[Contribution from the Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn and the National Bureau of Standards]

## The Relation between the Absorption Spectra and the Chemical Constitution of Dyes. XXVIII. The Hydration of Azo Dyes in Organic Solvents

By Wallace R. Brode, Ira L. Seldin, Paul E. Spoerri and George M. Wyman Received October 15, 1954

The addition of water to alcoholic solutions of 4 -amino, 4-dimethylamino, 4-hydroxy and 4-methoxy substituted azobenzenes gives rise to a new absorption batid at a somewhat longer wave length than that of the main absorption band in the visible region, indicating the formation of some new species. This new band is attributed to the formation of a hydrogen bond between the azo group and water.

## Introduction

In connection with some work on conjugated polyazo benzenes, a sample of $4,4^{\prime}$-diaminoazobenzene was prepared and carefully purified. In contrast with the spectra of other aminoazo compounds reported earlier, ${ }^{1}$ the spectrum of this compound in $95 \%$ ethanol solution showed a strong shoulder on the long wave length side of the principal absorption band in the visible region, strongly suggestive
(1) W. R. Brode, J. If. Gould and G. M. Wyman, This Journal, 75,1856 (1953).
of the presence of another species, perhaps some impurity. However, although the shoulder was not altered in intensity by repeated purification, it was enhanced by dilution of the solvent with increasing amounts of water until it appeared in $10 \%$ ethanol solution as a separate band of equal intensity, as shown in Fig. 1. As a result of this observation, it was decided to study the influence of water on the spectra of a large number of similar azo dyes, in the hope of arriving at a better understanding of this unusual solvent effect.
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